POSTERIOR EPIDURAL MIGRATION OF SEQUESTRATED LUMBAR DISC FRAGMENT CAUSING CAUDA EQUINA SYNDROME

ABSTRACT
Posterior epidural migration (PEM) of a sequestered free lumbar disc fragment is rare. The rarity is due to presence of several anatomical restraints which restrict a free fragment to move to the posterior compartment. This unusual presentation of disc herniation appeared in the literature either as a single case report or in small series from two to six cases. Herein two new demonstrative cases will be presented with a brief review of the literature.
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CASE 1
A 53-year-old male was admitted because of weakness of the lower extremity and inability to void for a day. He described no considerable history in the last few weeks. Neurological examination showed some decrease strength score of 3/5 on dorsiflexion of both feet. The sensation of the saddle area was also disturbed with bladder dysfunction necessitating a Foley catheter.

Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) revealed an isointense mass located posterior to the theca at L3-L4 level. It had the intensity relative to the intensity of the intervertebral disc showed in T1-weighted sagittal images (Figure 1a). The mass had heterogeneous intensity in T2-weighted sagittal and axial images (Figure 1b and 1c).

The patient underwent surgery within a few hours later with diagnosis of ligamentum flavum or synovial cyst. Surprisingly, after L3 laminectomy a big disc fragment lying on the dura mater was found. The fragment’s disc which was loosely adhered to the dura was detached with careful dissection (Figure 1d).

Subsequently, the corresponding disc space which had a tear in its annulus was approached and degenerated disc material was removed.

The patient was discharged with partial recovery a few days after surgery, however, he fully recover a month later.

Figure 1a. T1-weighted image showing a posteriorly located mass at the level of L3- L4- it is isointense relative to intervertebral disc. (L5 is sacralized)
CASE 2

A 57-year-old male was referred with chief complaint of bilateral radiculopathy in the lower extremity. Pain had characteristics of L5 root sciatica and was more prominent on the right side. The scenario was started after lifting a heavy object. Neurological examination revealed decrease in force of dorsiflexion of the right foot with the score of 3/5.

MRI revealed L4-L5 disc protrusion with mild retrolisthesis of L4 on L5. Posteriorly, the dural sac was compressed with a mass. This mass was isointense both in T1 and T2 images (Figures 2a, 2b and 2c). Diagnosis of posteriorly migrated disc fragment was made. This was confirmed after partial L4 laminectomy (Figure 2d). Subsequent to removal of such a rather big fragment, L4-L5 disc was exposed from the right side. There was a large tear in annulus; this was enlarged for removal of degenerated disc material. Later, the remnants of disc material were removed through the left side.

The patient made rapid recovery and was discharged in the second post-surgical day. Two weeks after surgery, he had made full recovery.

Figure 1b. T2-weighted sagittal image. Demonstrating a mass located posterior to the theca at same level.

Figure 1c. T2-Weighted Axial view at the same level showing a central posteriorly located mass.

Figure 1d. Intraoperative view revealing a huge sequestrated disc fragment on the dural sac.

Figure 2a. Preoperative Sagittal T1-weight image of the lumbar spine revealing an isointense space occupying lesion located on posterior dural sac at L4-L5.

Figure 2b. Sagittal T2-weighted image showing the same mass with isointensity.

Figure 2c.

Figure 2d.
DISCUSSION

Posterior epidural migration of a lumbar disc (PEM) is an uncommon event and less than 50 cases have been reported in the literature. The clinical picture of the event is either radiculopathy or cauda equina syndrome. Review of reported cases revealed that half of the cases were manifested with radiculopathy and the other half with cauda equina syndrome.

The plain radiographs do not give too much information, in particular with consideration of disc height which remains normal because of the rapidity of the events in PEM. MRI is the best tool for detection of a posteriorly migrated disc fragment. In T1 weighted images, PEM is demonstrated as an isointense mass, with the signal intensity relatively similar to the intensity of intervertebral disc. However, in T2-weighted images, its intensity is variable and can be shown as a hyperintense mass in about 80% of the cases and hypointense or isointense in the remaining 20% cases.

In contrasted MRI, particularly if the a few days passes, it resembles a cyst like lesion with rim enhancement. This phenomenon is due to wrapping of the sequestrated disc by newly formed vessels or neovascularization.

Since these radiological features mimic those of other common posterior epidural lesions, definite preoperative diagnosis cannot be made in all of the cases.

Differential diagnosis based both on clinical and radiological features include rapid expansion of a pre-existing synovial cyst, hemorrhagic juxtafacet cysts, gout, cystic schwannomas, tumors and abscesses.

Decision for urgent surgery should be the first step toward the elimination of pain and averting neurological deficit, particularly in the subjects with cauda equina syndrome. Removal of the sequestrated disc fragment can be achieved through hemilaminectomy.

Hopefully, the outcome is good in majority of the patients suffering from this pathology. In particular, cauda equina syndrome resulting from PEM disc fragment has much more better prognosis than those with the same syndrome resulting from an anteriorly extruded disc fragment. Review of the literature revealed that majority of the PEM patients have recovered fully within weeks to a few months after surgery, probably because of abundant epidural fat which provides suitable space posteriorly.

In conclusion, PEM should be included in differential diagnosis of all patients with acute radiculopathy or cauda equina syndrome despite its rarity. Prompt surgical intervention is justified particularly in those with cauda equina syndrome. Obviously, surgery should not be postponed for unnecessary further radiological investigations.
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ERRATA

O artigo “Análisis comparativo de pacientes con estenosis degenerativa lumbar pura (EDLP) y estenosis secundaria a espondilolistesis degenerativa lumbar (ELDL) tratados quirúrgicamente en el período de 2008 a 2011 en el Hospital Metropolitano de Quito-Ecuador” publicado revista Coluna/Columna, na edição Volume 11, número 2, Abr/Jul 2012, pág.156-9, por solicitação do autor foi alterada a ordem dos autores. Onde se lê: Jaime Moyano, Edisson Ahtty, Madelin Bilbao, Sebastián De la Torre, o correto é: Sebastián De La Torre, Jaime Moyano, Edisson Ahtty, Madelin Bilbao.