BASILAR INVAGINATION SECONDARY TO HYPOPLASIA OF THE CLIVUS – IS THERE INDICATION FOR CRANIOCERVICAL FIXATION?
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ABSTRACT

The posterior fossa decompression is a form of treatment suggested for patients with basilar invagination (BI) secondary to hypoplasia symptomatic of the clivus and atlantoaxial alignment preserved. Based on the fact that the worsening of cranial-cervical kyphosis (decrease of clivus-canal angle to less than 150°) can result in anterior brainstem compression, we propose that some patients may benefit from the cranio-cervical fixation. We present a case report of a patient with BI secondary to clivus hypoplasia who underwent cranio-cervical fixation in extension, with a reduction in clivus-canal angle and improvement of symptoms without posterior fossa decompression.
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RESUMO

A descompressão da fossa posterior é uma das formas de tratamento sugeridas para pacientes com invaginação basilar (IB) secundária a hipoplasia sintomática de clivo e alinhamento atlanto-axial preservado. Baseado no fato de que a piora da cifose crânio-cervical (diminuição do ângulo clivo-canal para menos do que 150°) pode resultar em compressão anterior do tronco cerebral, propomos que alguns pacientes podem se beneficiar da fixação crânio-cervical. Apresentamos um caso de paciente com IB secundária a hipoplasia de clivo submetido à fixação crânio-cervical em extensão, com diminuição do seu ângulo clivo-canal e melhora dos sintomas, sem descompressão da fossa posterior.
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INTRODUCTION

Basilar invagination (BI) is a developmental anomaly of the occipital bone and upper cervical spine defined when the tip of the odontoid process was at least 2.5 mm above Chamberlain’s line, resulting in an abnormally high vertebral column prolapsed into the skull base.1

Of note, BI is a radiographic finding and not a diagnosis, once it’s associated with many developmental anomalies.2 Protrusion of the odontoid is often associated with a horizontal clivus and cranio-cervical kyphosis.3 These conditions can result in ventral compression at the spinomedullary junction, with severe neurological impairment.4

In one of the largest series reported about BI, treatment was based on the division of these patients in two groups, based on the presence or absence of instability: Group A) Patients with atlantoaxial dislocation, with the tip of the odontoid process protruded into the foramen magnum and above the Chamberlain line, McRae line of foramen magnum and Wackenheim’s clival line. This group main characteristic is the presence of a clear element of instability of the region that is manifested by the tip of the odontoid process distancing itself from the anterior arch of the atlas or the lower end of the clivus. The patients might have Chiari malformation with tonsilar herniation, and the treatment is based on the reduction of C1-2 instability, once a real “lysthesia” can be found in some cases, with occipital traction or C1-2 distraction and fixation. Transoral decompression can be used in patients without reduction of the instability, if a fixed anterior compression is presented.5

Group B) Patients with the tip of the odontoid process above the Chamberlain’s line, but presenting a normal alignment of the clivus and odontoid process. The tip of the odontoid is below the Wackenheim’s clival line and the McRae’s line. The alignment of the anterior arch of the atlas, the odontoid process and the inferior aspect of the clivus remains normal, with no instability, probably secondary to a complete clivus hypoplasia (basiocciput clivus). The atlanto-axial is also normal. The distance between the ponto-medullary junction and the odontoid process is markedly reduced. Posterior cranial fossa volume can be markedly reduced in this group and Chiari malformation can be commonly found. The treatment usually proposed in these cases is foramen magnum decompression without cranio cervical fixation.6

We presented an illustrative case to justify the hypothesis that some patients in Group B can be treated with cranio cervical fusion to decrease cranio cervical kyphosis and to avoid anterior brainstem stress due to instability. Consent was obtained from the patient presented in this paper.
Case Report

We present the case of a 60-year-old man who initially presented to our clinic with complaints of cervical pain for the last 2. He stated that his condition had worsened in the past year with occurrence of progressive weakness in both arms and legs, with presence of paresthesias and numbness in both hands. Physical examination revealed bilateral hand and left arm paresis associated with loss of dexterity, which did not allow the patient to write. He was able to walk without assistance, with an objective motor deficit in the lower extremities. All the tendon reflexes were exacerbated. He did not present any sign of cranial nerve deficit. Of note, his symptoms intensity decrease when he holds his head in extension, worsening the pain and numbness when in flexion – a clear mechanical instability.

CT scanning and MR imaging showed the presence of a BI, with the tip of the odontoid process above the Chamberlain’s line but below the Wackenheim’s clival line and the McRae’s line. A clivus-canal angulation in flexion of 93° was measured.

This patient underwent an occipital-C2-3 fusion in extension, without posterior fossa decompression. Due to his extremely thin posterior occipital bone, we are not able to put screws, using a combination of plates and wires, as shown in Figure 1. We used C2 pars screws and C3 lateral mass screws.

Postoperatively, he reported important improvement for walking and the weakness in his superior extremities was considerably reduced. After one year of follow-up, the patient still improving, presenting only occasional paresthesias in both arms. He was able to return to work as a writer without limitations. The follow up CT scan showed an increase in the clivus-canal angle, from 93° to 127°.

Figure 1. (A) Preoperative CT scan (sagittal reconstruction) showing the patient clivus-canal angle of 93°; (B) A sagittal T2-weighted cervical MRI with a basilar invagination secondary to clivus hypoplasia and ventral brainstem compression; (C) Post-operative plain radiograph showing an occipito-C2-3 fixation; (D) A CT scan (sagittal reconstruction) showing the final clivus-canal angle of 127° decreasing the stress in the brainstem.

DISCUSSION

Many patients with BI can fail to show improvement after posterior fossa decompression.4,5 Posterior fossa decompression can also exacerbate cervicomedullary compression over the odontoid.3 Treatment of neurological symptoms of the patients with BI but with a normal alignment of the clivus and C1-2, probably secondary to clivus hypoplasia, is based on posterior foramen magnum decompression.4 However, even with a normal alignment, abnormal deforming stresses in the brainstem and spinal cord can lead to neurological worsening in the presence of cervicomedullary kyphosis, specially in patients with a kyphotic clivus-canal angle (< 130°).3,7 In an elegant study of five cases, Henderson et al.3 performed a finite element analysis (FEA), a computational means of predicting stress due to load, deformity and strain, of neuroaxial preoperative and postoperative (suboccipital decompression, reduction of the abnormal clivus-canal angle to correct brainstem deformity and fusion). They concluded that normalization of the clivus-canal angle was associated with the reduction of deforming stress in FEA, resulting in clinical improvement, as observed in our case.

In normal individuals, the clivus-canal angle should range from 150° to 180°.2 Some authors advocate that its evaluation for infer ventral brainstem compression (VBSC), has some pitfalls, as it follows: 1) it’s an indirect mean of evaluation for brainstem compression; 2) only osseous structures were taken in account in the anterior foramen magnum region (it does not include soft retro-odontoid tissue), and 3) it also has a dynamic behavior, increasing in extension and decreasing in flexion, which can lead to misreading of the measured value (range of about 30°).2 As an alternative method for evaluate VBSC, Grabb et al.6 based on the analysis of their surgical results of 40 patients treated with diagnosis of Chiari I malformation, proposed a measurement to quantify VBSC, also known as the pB-C2. This was obtained based on a line draw between the basion and the posteroinferior aspect of C2 body. A line perpendicular to this line was drawn through the odontoid tip to the ventral dura and the distance representing the amount of ventral canal encroachment was measured. All the patients with a pB-C2 of less than 9 mm were treated successfully with posterior fossa decompression alone. Patients with 9 mm or more required reduction of the VBSC before posterior fossa decompression. Grabb-Oakes measurement, therefore, might be considered an objective tool to quantify VBSC. It is especially adequate, in our view, for further evaluation of patients who will undergo posterior fossa decompression and may require an additional procedure (ventral decompression and/or concomitant cranio cervical fusion).

We believe that some patients with BI secondary to clivus hypoplasia may have VBSC and cranio cervical instability. This can be clearly exemplified by the case of our patient, who was not submitted to posterior fossa decompression, but achieved dramatically improvement of his symptoms after reduction and stabilization of his cranio cervical kyphosis, what decreased the stress of his neural tissue.

Due to a wide range of clinical and radiological presentation of BI, we believe that further studies are required for a better definition of the patients who may clearly be benefited of an occipito-cervical fusion. Future studies should evaluate the relationship of the clivus-canal angle and Grabb-Oakes measurement in patient’s outcome.
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